

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
MAYOR'S OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL
Freedom of Information Act Appeal: 2020-096**

July 16, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Mr. Michael Ayele

RE: FOIA Appeal 2020-096

Dear Mr. Ayele:

This letter responds to the administrative appeal you submitted to the Mayor under the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act, D.C. Code § 2-537 (“DC FOIA”), challenging the failure of the University of the District of Columbia (“UDC”) to respond to your FOIA request.

You submitted a FOIA request to UDC that consisted of a lengthy statement describing your employment relationship with a facility in a different state. You also attached a 12-page document entitled “Decade in Review” which also did not request any documents. Based on the questions in your FOIA request, UDC responded stating that it did not have any responsive records. On February 3, 2020, you filed an appeal with this Office requesting that we direct UDC to answer the questions that you submitted in your request. On February 13, 2020, UDC responded to your appeal stating that you did not request any records.¹

FOIA requires that requests describe the records sought with sufficient detail to allow an agency employee familiar with the subject area of the request to locate the records within a reasonable amount of time. More specifically, 1 DCMR § 402.4 states that:

A request shall reasonably describe the desired record(s). Where possible, the specific information regarding names, places, events, subjects, dates, files, titles, file designation or other identifying information shall be supplied.

In addition, under FOIA, an agency “has no duty either to answer questions unrelated to document requests or to create documents.” *Zemansky v. United States Env'tl. Prot. Agency*, 767 F.2d 569, 574 (9th Cir. 1985). The law only requires the disclosure of nonexempt documents, not answers to interrogatories. *Di Viaio v. Kelley*, 571 F.2d 538, 542-543 (10th Cir. 1978). “FOIA creates only a right of access to records, not a right to personal services.” *Hudgins v. IRS*, 620 F. Supp. 19, 21 (D.D.C. 1985). *See also Brown v. F.B.I.*, 675 F. Supp. 2d 122, 129-130 (D.D.C. 2009).

We agree with UDC that your request did not identify any records that you wanted from UDC.

¹ A copy of UDC’s response to your appeal is attached to this decision.

Rather, your submissions contained a summary of your employment history and a series of questions on various topics. Based on the foregoing, we conclude that the UDC properly responded to your FOIA request and we hereby deny your appeal.

This constitutes the final decision of this Office. If you are dissatisfied with this decision, you may commence a civil action against the District of Columbia in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia in accordance with D.C. Code § 2-537.

Respectfully,

Mayor's Office of Legal Counsel

cc: Thomas E. Redmond, Assistant General Counsel
UDC (via email)